

## RATIONALIZED EVALUATION SUBGROUPS OF THE COMPLEX HOPF FIBRATION

OTENG MAPHANE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we compute the rational evaluation subgroup of the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$ . We show that, for the Sullivan model  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are the minimal Sullivan models of  $\mathbb{C}P(n)$  and  $S^{2n+1}$  respectively, the evaluation subgroup  $G_n(A, B; \phi)$  and the relative evaluation subgroup  $G_n^{rel}(A, B; \phi)$  of  $\phi$  are generated by single elements.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a based CW-complex. An element  $a \in \pi_n(X)$  is a Gottlieb element if there is a continuous map  $H : X \times S^n \rightarrow X$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \vee S^n & \xrightarrow{id_X \vee h} & X \vee X \\ \downarrow J & & \downarrow \nabla \\ X \times S^n & \xrightarrow{H} & X, \end{array}$$

where  $h : S^n \rightarrow X$  is a representative of  $a$  and  $\nabla$  is the folding map. Moreover,  $G_n(X)$  is the set of all Gottlieb elements  $a \in \pi_n(X)$  and is called the  $n$ -th Gottlieb group of  $X$  or the  $n$ -th evaluation subgroup of  $\pi_n(X)$  [4]. Gottlieb groups play an important role in the study of problems in topology, fixed point theory and homotopy theory of fibrations.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a based map of simply connected finite CW-complexes, in [5], the evaluation at the basepoint of  $X$  gives the *evaluation map*  $\omega : \text{Map}(X, Y; f) \rightarrow Y$ , where  $\text{Map}(X, Y; f)$  is the component of  $f$  in the space of mappings from  $X$  to  $Y$ . The image of the homomorphism induced in homotopy groups

$$\omega_{\#} : \pi_* \text{Map}(X, Y; f) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y)$$

---

Received September 8, 2020; Accepted November 23, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P62; Secondary 54C35.

*Key words and phrases.* Evaluation subgroups, Hopf fibration,  $G$ -sequence.

This work was completed with the support of the Botswana International University of Science and Technology (BIUST).

is called the  $n$ -th *evaluation subgroup of  $p$*  and it is denoted by  $G_n(Y, X; p)$ . Moreover, if  $f = id_X$ , the space  $\text{Map}(X, Y; f)$  is the monoid  $\text{aut}_1(X)$  of self-equivalences of  $X$  homotopic to the identity of  $X$ , then  $ev : \text{aut}_1(X) \rightarrow X$  is the evaluation map and the image of the induced homomorphism

$$ev_{\sharp} : \pi_*(\text{aut}_1(X)) \rightarrow \pi_*(X)$$

is  $G_n(X)$ , i.e., the  $n$ -th Gottlieb group. Moreover, in [8], Woo and Lee studied the relative evaluation subgroups  $G_n^{rel}(X, Y; p)$  and proved that they fit in a sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow G_{n+1}^{rel}(X, Y; f) \rightarrow G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X, Y; f) \rightarrow \cdots$$

called the  $G$ -sequence of  $f$ . Further, in [5], Smith and Lupton identify the homomorphism induced on rational homotopy groups by the evaluation map  $\omega : \text{Map}(X, Y; f) \rightarrow Y$ , in terms of a map of complexes of derivations constructed directly from the Sullivan minimal model of  $f$ . In this paper, we use a map of complexes of derivations of minimal Sullivan models of mapping spaces to compute rational relative Gottlieb groups of the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Here we fix terminology and recall some standard facts on differential graded algebras. All vector spaces and algebras are taken over a field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers.

**Definition 2.1.** A commutative graded differential algebra (cdga) is a graded algebra  $(A, d)$  such that  $xy = (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$  and  $d(xy) = (dx)y + (-1)^{|p|}x(dy)$  for all  $x \in A^p, y \in A^q$ . It is said to be connected if  $H^0(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V^i$  with  $V^{\text{even}} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V^{2i}$  and  $V^{\text{odd}} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} V^{2i-1}$ , then  $\wedge V$  denotes the free commutative graded algebra defined by the tensor product

$$\wedge V = S(V^{\text{even}}) \otimes E(V^{\text{odd}}),$$

where  $S(V^{\text{even}})$  is the symmetric algebra on  $V^{\text{even}}$  and  $E(V^{\text{odd}})$  is the exterior algebra on  $V^{\text{odd}}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A Sullivan algebra is a commutative differential graded algebra  $(\wedge V, d)$  where  $V = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} V(k)$  and  $V(0) \subset V(1) \cdots$  such that  $dV(0) = 0$  and  $dV(k) \subset \wedge V(k-1)$ . It is called minimal if  $dV \subset \wedge^{\geq 2} V$ .

If  $(A, d)$  is a cdga of which the cohomology is connected and finite dimensional in each degree, then there always exists a quasi-isomorphism from a Sullivan algebra  $(\wedge V, d)$  to  $(A, d)$  [2]. To each simply connected space, Sullivan associates a cdga  $A_{PL}(X)$  of rational polynomial differential forms on  $X$  that uniquely determines the rational homotopy type of  $X$  [7]. A minimal Sullivan model of  $X$  is a minimal Sullivan model of  $A_{PL}(X)$ . More precisely,  $H^*(\wedge V, d) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  as graded algebras and  $V \cong \pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  as graded vector spaces.

### 3. Evaluation subgroups of a map

Consider the Hopf fibration  $f : S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$ . The Hopf fibration plays an important role in the study of Sasakian manifolds. More precisely, the most basic example of a simply connected compact regular Sasakian manifold is the odd dimensional sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  considered as the total space of the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$  (see [1]). In [3], the minimal model of  $\mathbb{C}P(n)$  is given by  $(\wedge(x_2, y_{2n+1}), d)$ , where  $dx_2 = 0$ ,  $dy_{2n+1} = x_2^{n+1}$  and the minimal model of  $S^{2n+1}$  is given by  $(\wedge x_{2n+1}, 0)$ . Moreover, the minimal Sullivan model of  $f$  is given by

$$\phi : (\wedge(x_2, y_{2n+1}), d) \rightarrow (\wedge x_{2n+1}, 0),$$

where  $\phi(x_2) = 0$  and  $\phi(y_{2n+1}) = x_{2n+1}$ .

We study the evaluation subgroups of  $\phi$ . Let  $(A, d)$  be a commutative differential graded algebra. A derivation  $\theta$  of degree  $k$  is a linear mapping  $\theta : A^n \rightarrow A^{n-k}$  such that  $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)b + (-1)^{k|a|}a\theta(b)$ .

Denote by  $\text{Der}_k A$  the vector space of all derivation of degree  $k$  and  $\text{Der } A = \bigoplus_k \text{Der}_k A$ . The commutator bracket induces a graded Lie algebra structure on  $\text{Der } A$ . Moreover,  $(\text{Der } A, \delta)$  is a differential graded Lie algebra [7], with the differential  $\delta$  defined in the usual way by

$$\delta\theta = d \circ \theta + (-1)^{k+1}\theta \circ d.$$

Let  $(\wedge V, d)$  be a Sullivan algebra where  $V$  is spanned by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then,  $\text{Der } \wedge V$  is spanned by  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ , where  $\theta_i$  is the unique derivation of  $\wedge V$  defined by  $\theta_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . The derivation  $\theta_i$  will be denoted by  $(v_i, 1)$ . Moreover, an element  $v \in V \cong \pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a Gottlieb element of  $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if there is a derivation  $\theta$  of  $\wedge V$  satisfying  $\theta(v) = 1$  and such that  $\delta\theta = 0$  [2].

Let  $\phi : (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)$  be a morphism of cdga's. A  $\phi$ -derivation of degree  $k$  is a linear mapping  $\theta : A^n \rightarrow B^{n-k}$  for which  $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\phi(b) + (-1)^{k|a|}\phi(a)\theta(b)$ .

We consider only derivations of positive degree. Denote by  $\text{Der}_n(A, B; \phi)$  the vector space of  $\phi$ -derivations of degree  $n$  for  $n > 0$  and by  $\text{Der}(A, B; \phi) = \bigoplus_n \text{Der}_n(A, B; \phi)$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space of all  $\phi$ -derivations. The differential graded vector space of  $\phi$ -derivations is denoted by  $(\text{Der}(A, B; \phi), \partial)$ , where the differential  $\partial$  is defined by  $\partial\theta = d_B \circ \theta + (-1)^{k+1}\theta \circ d_A$ . In case  $A = B$  and  $\phi = 1_B$ , then  $(\text{Der}(B, B; 1), \partial)$  is just the usual differential graded Lie algebra of derivations on the cdga  $B$  [5]. We note that, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$\text{Der}(A, B; \phi) \cong \text{Hom}(V, B).$$

If  $\{v_i\}$  is a basis of  $V$ , then the vector space  $\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$ , is spanned by the unique  $\phi$ -derivation  $\theta$  denoted by  $(v_i, b_i)$  such that  $\theta_i(v_i) = b_i$ , where  $b_i \in B$  and  $\theta_i(v_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Moreover, in [5], pre-composition with  $\phi$  gives a chain complex map  $\phi^* : \text{Der}(B, B; 1) \rightarrow \text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$  and post-composition with the augmentation  $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  gives a chain complex map  $\varepsilon_* : \text{Der}(A, B; \phi) \rightarrow \text{Der}(A, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon)$ . The evaluation subgroup of  $\phi$  is defined as follows:

$$G_n(A, B; \phi) = \text{Im}\{H(\varepsilon_*) : H_n(\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Der}(A, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon))\}.$$

In case  $A = B$  and  $\phi = 1_B$ , we get the Gottlieb group of  $(B, d)$ , defined as follows

$$G_n(B) = \text{Im}\{H(\varepsilon_*) : H_n(\text{Der}(B, B; 1)) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Der}(B, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon))\}.$$

In particular,  $G_n(B) \cong G_n(X_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , if  $B$  is the minimal Sullivan model of a simply connected space  $X$  [2, Proposition 29.8].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $B = (\wedge x_{2n+1}, 0)$ . Then  $G_n(B) = \langle [x_{2n+1}^*] \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $\text{Der}(B, B; 1) = (\mathbb{Q}\alpha_{2n+1}, 0)$  where  $\alpha_{2n+1}$  is the derivation taking  $x_{2n+1}$  to one. Thus,  $[\alpha_{2n+1}]$  is the non zero homology class in  $H_*(\text{Der}(B, B; 1))$ . Moreover,  $\varepsilon_*(\alpha_{2n+1}) = x_{2n+1}^*$ . As  $S^{2n+1}$  is a finite CW-complex then  $G_{\text{even}}(B) = 0$  [2, Page 379]. Hence  $G_n(B) = \langle [x_{2n+1}^*] \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Consider the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  its Sullivan model, then  $G_n(A, B; \phi) = \langle [y_{2n+1}^*] \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\theta_{2n+1} = (y_{2n+1}, 1)$  in  $\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$ . Then  $\partial\theta_{2n+1} = 0$ . Moreover,  $[\theta_{2n+1}]$  is the non zero homology class in  $H_*(\text{Der}(A, B; \phi))$ . A simple calculation shows that  $\theta_2 = (x_2, 1)$  is not a cycle in  $\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$ . Moreover,  $H(\varepsilon_*)([\theta_{2n+1}]) = [y_{2n+1}^*] \in G_{2n+1}(A, B; \phi)$ . It then follows that  $G_n(A, B; \phi) = \langle [y_{2n+1}^*] \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3** ([5, 6]). Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a map of differential graded vector spaces. A differential graded vector space,  $Rel_*(\phi)$ , called the mapping cone of  $\phi$  is defined as follows.  $Rel_n(\phi) = A_{n-1} \oplus B_n$  with the differential  $\delta(a, b) = (-d_A(a), \phi(a) + d_B(b))$ . There are inclusion and projection chain maps  $J : B_n \rightarrow Rel_n(\phi)$  and  $P : Rel_n(\phi) \rightarrow A_{n-1}$  defined by  $J(w) = (0, w)$  and  $P(a, b) = a$ . These yields a short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow B_* \xrightarrow{J} Rel_*(\phi) \xrightarrow{P} A_{*-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and a long exact homology sequence of  $\phi$

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Rel(\phi)) \xrightarrow{H(P)} H_n(A) \xrightarrow{H(\phi)} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{H(J)} H_n(Rel(\phi)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

whose connecting homomorphism is  $H(\phi)$ .

In following [5], we consider a commutative diagram of differential graded vector spaces;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Der}(B, B; 1) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & \text{Der}(A, B; \phi) \\ \varepsilon_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_* \\ \text{Der}(B, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}^*} & \text{Der}(A, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon), \end{array}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the augmentation of either  $A$  or  $B$ . On passing to homology and using the naturality of the mapping cone construction, we obtain the following

homology ladder for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & H_{n+1}(\text{Rel}(\phi^*)) & \xrightarrow{H(P)} & H_n(\text{Der}(B, B; 1)) & \xrightarrow{H(\phi^*)} & H_n(\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow H(\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*) & & \downarrow H(\varepsilon_*) & & \downarrow H(\varepsilon_*) \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & H_{n+1}(\text{Rel}(\widehat{\phi}^*)) & \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{P})} & H_n(\text{Der}(B, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{\phi}^*)} & H_n(\text{Der}(A, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

The  $n$ -th relative evaluation subgroup of  $\phi$  is defined as follows:

$$G_n^{\text{rel}} = \text{Im}\{H(\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*) : H_n(\text{Rel}(\phi^*)) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Rel}(\widehat{\phi}^*))\}.$$

The  $G$ -sequence of the map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is given by

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{J})} G_{n+1}^{\text{rel}}(A, B; \phi) \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{P})} G_n(B) \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{\phi}^*)} G_n(A, B; \phi) \xrightarrow{H(\widehat{J})} \cdots$$

which ends in  $G_2(A, B; \phi)$ . Moreover, in [5, Theorem 3.5], this can be applied to the Sullivan model  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  of the map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Consider the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(n)$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  its Sullivan model, then  $G_n^{\text{rel}}(A, B; \phi) = \langle [(0, y_{2n+1}^*)] \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram [5].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Der}(B, B; 1) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & \text{Der}(A, B; \phi) & \xrightarrow{J} & \text{Rel}(\phi^*) \\ \varepsilon_* \downarrow & & \varepsilon_* \downarrow & & (\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*) \downarrow \\ \text{Der}(B, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}^*} & \text{Der}(A, \mathbb{Q}; \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{J}} & \text{Rel}(\widehat{\phi}^*). \end{array}$$

Let  $\alpha_{2n+1} = (x_{2n+1}, 1)$  in  $\text{Der}(B, B; 1)$  and  $\theta_{2n+1} = (y_{2n+1}, 1)$  in  $\text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$ . Then  $\phi^*(\alpha_{2n+1}) = \theta_{2n+1}$ . Moreover,  $D(\alpha_{2n+1}, 0) = (0, \theta_{2n+1})$  and  $D(0, \theta_{2n+1}) = (0, 0)$ . Therefore,  $[(0, \theta_{2n+1})]$  is the non zero homology class in  $H_*(\text{Rel}(\phi^*))$ . Further,

$$H(\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)([(0, \theta_{2n+1})]) = [(0, y_{2n+1}^*)].$$

It is easily checked that  $[(0, y_{2n+1}^*)]$  spans  $H(\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$ . □

The  $G$ -sequence reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(A, B; \phi) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{H(J)} G_{2n+1}^{\text{rel}}(A, B; \phi) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(B) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{H(\widehat{\phi}^*)} G_{2n+1}(A, B; \phi) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow G_{2n+1}^{\text{rel}}(A, B; \phi) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{H(P)} G_{2n+1}(B) \rightarrow 0$$

and is exact.

**Example 1.** Consider the Hopf fibration  $f : S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(1)$ . A Sullivan model of  $f$  is given by

$$\phi : A = (\wedge(x_2, y_3), d) \rightarrow (\wedge x_3, 0) = B,$$

where  $dx_2 = 0$ ,  $dy_3 = x_2^2$ . We determine  $G_n^{rel}(A, B; \phi)$  as follows. Consider  $\alpha_3 = (x_3, 1) \in \text{Der}(B, B; 1)$  and  $\theta_3 = (y_3, 1) \in \text{Der}(A, B; \phi)$ . Then  $\phi^*(\alpha_3) = \theta_3$ . Moreover,  $D(\alpha_3, 0) = (0, \theta_3)$  and  $D(0, \theta_3) = (0, 0)$ . Thus,  $[(0, \theta_3)]$  is the non zero homology class. Moreover,  $(\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)(0, \theta_3) = (0, y_3^*)$ . Therefore,

$$G_n^{rel}(A, B; \phi) = \langle [(0, y_3^*)] \rangle.$$

### References

- [1] I. Biswas, M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, and A. Tralle, *On formality of Sasakian manifolds*, J. Topol. **9** (2016), no. 1, 161–180. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jtopol/jtv044>
- [2] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0105-9>
- [3] Y. Félix, J. Oprea, and D. Tanré, *Algebraic Models in Geometry*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 17, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [4] D. H. Gottlieb, *Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 729–756. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2373349>
- [5] G. Lupton and S. B. Smith, *Rationalized evaluation subgroups of a map. I. Sullivan models, derivations and G-sequences*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), no. 1, 159–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2006.05.018>
- [6] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, corrected reprint of the 1966 original, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [7] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 47 (1977), 269–331 (1978).
- [8] M. H. Woo and K. Y. Lee, *On the relative evaluation subgroups of a CW-pair*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **25** (1988), no. 1, 149–160.

OTENG MAPHANE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES

BOTSWANA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

PALAPYE, BOTSWANA

*Email address:* [maphaneot@biust.ac.bw](mailto:maphaneot@biust.ac.bw)