

SEMI-CUBICALLY HYPONORMAL WEIGHTED SHIFTS WITH STAMPFLI'S SUBNORMAL COMPLETION

SEUNGHWAN BAEK AND MI RYEONG LEE

ABSTRACT. Let $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ be a weight sequence with Stampfli's subnormal completion and let W_α be its associated weighted shift. In this paper we discuss some properties of the region $\mathcal{U} := \{(x, y) : W_\alpha \text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal}\}$ and describe the shape of the boundary of \mathcal{U} . In particular, we improve the results of [19, Theorem 4.2].

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A bounded operator T is said to be *subnormal* if it is the restriction of a normal operator to an invariant subspace ([15]). An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *hyponormal* if $T^*T \geq TT^*$. In [5], Curto defined some classes of weak subnormality between hyponormality and subnormality in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, for examples, k -hyponormality and weak k -hyponormality. The weakly k -hyponormal weighted shift (whose definition will be defined below) is the main model in this paper. For a positive integer k , an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *weakly k -hyponormal* if for every polynomial p of degree k or less, $p(T)$ is hyponormal ([5, 8, 11, 12]). An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *semi-weakly k -hyponormal* if $T + sT^k$ is hyponormal for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ([13]). It is obvious that a weakly k -hyponormal operator is semi-weakly k -hyponormal. In particular, weak 2-hyponormality is equivalent to semi-weak 2-hyponormality. The weak 2-hyponormality [weak 3-hyponormality, semi-weak 3-hyponormality, resp.] is referred to as the *quadratic hyponormality* [*cubic hyponormality*, *semi-cubic hyponormality*, resp.]. In particular, the quadratic hyponormality makes an important role in the study of gap on operator properties such as flatness, completion, and backward extension theory since 1990 (see, for instance, [1, 4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 16, 20]). In [6], Curto proved that a

Received March 19, 2018; Accepted July 19, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B37, 47B20.

Key words and phrases. weighted shifts, hyponormality, semi-cubic hyponormality.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1D1A1A02017817).

2-hyponormal weighted shift with two equal weights $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n+1}$ for some non-negative integer n has the flatness property, i.e., $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots$. Moreover, he obtained a quadratically hyponormal weighted shift with first two equal weights which does not satisfy flatness ([6]). Also in [17], they showed that the weighted shift W_α with $\alpha : \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}$ ($n \geq 2$) is not cubically hyponormal. Hence the following question arises naturally ([7]):

Problem 1.1. Describe all quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights.

Recently Li-Cho-Lee in [18] proved that if a weighted shift W_α is cubically hyponormal with first two equal weights, then W_α has the flatness property. The structure of semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts has been studied by several authors (cf. [2, 3, 19]). To detect the structure of semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts, the following problem arises naturally:

Problem 1.2. Describe all semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights.

As a study of Problem 1.2 it is worthwhile to describe the region $\mathcal{U} = \{(x, y) : W_\alpha \text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal}\}$ for weighted shifts W_α with weight sequence $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$, where $(1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ is Stampfli's subnormal completion. Recall that Curto-Jung studied the shape of the region $\{(x, y) : W_\alpha \text{ is quadratically hyponormal}\}$ in [9]. In this paper we describe the region \mathcal{U} in detail as a parallel study.

This note consists of four sections. In Section 2 we recall characterizations for semi-cubic hyponormality of a weighted shift W_α with weight sequence $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$. In Section 3, we describe the geometric shapes of the region \mathcal{U} above. In Section 4, we discuss some remarks concerning the extremality of the region \mathcal{U} .

Throughout this note we denote \mathbb{R}_+ for the set of nonnegative real numbers. For a region \mathcal{V} in \mathbb{R}^2 ($:= \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$), we denote the boundary of \mathcal{V} by $\partial\mathcal{V}$.

Some of the calculations in this paper were aided by using the software tool *Mathematica* ([22]).

2. Preliminaries

We recall Stampfli's subnormal completion of three values ([21]). Let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ be the first three weights in \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ (to avoid the flatness)¹. Define

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\alpha}_n = \left(\Psi_1 + \frac{\Psi_0}{\alpha_{n-1}^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

¹If W_α is a subnormal weighted shift such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ or $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots$.

where

$$\Psi_0 = -\frac{\alpha_0^2 \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2)}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}, \quad \Psi_1 = \frac{\alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_0^2)}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}.$$

This produces a bounded sequence $\hat{\alpha} := \{\hat{\alpha}_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, where $\hat{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2$) such that its associated weighted shift $W_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is subnormal. As usual, we write $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^\wedge$ for this weight sequence $\hat{\alpha}$ induced by (2.1).

We now recall a characterization of the semi-cubic hyponormality of weighted shifts W_α with weight sequence $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$.

Lemma 2.1 ([19, Th. 4.1]). *Let $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ with $1 < x < y$ be a weight sequence and let W_α be its associated weighted shift. Then W_α is semi-cubically hyponormal if and only if $f(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^9 \zeta_i y^i \geq 0$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_0 &= x^8, \quad \zeta_1 = -x^5 + 8x^6 - 18x^7 + 2x^8, \\ \zeta_2 &= x^2 - 8x^3 + 39x^4 - 108x^5 + 131x^6 - 20x^7 + x^8, \\ \zeta_3 &= -3x + 32x^2 - 151x^3 + 338x^4 - 298x^5 - 12x^6 + 10x^7, \\ \zeta_4 &= 4 - 42x + 169x^2 - 274x^3 + 40x^4 + 276x^5 - 43x^6 - 4x^7, \\ \zeta_5 &= 16x - 130x^2 + 359x^3 - 330x^4 - 75x^5 + 34x^6, \\ \zeta_6 &= -2x + 38x^2 - 172x^3 + 260x^4 - 34x^5 - 6x^6, \\ \zeta_7 &= -x + 4x^2 + 17x^3 - 74x^4 + 18x^5, \\ \zeta_8 &= -2x^2 + 6x^3 + 7x^4 - 2x^5, \quad \zeta_9 = -x^3. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha(x, y) : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ with $1 < x < y$ be a weight sequence with Stampfli's subnormal completion tail and let $W_{\alpha(x, y)}$ be the associated weighted shift. For our convenience, we denote $x = 1 + h$ and $y = 1 + h + k$ ($h, k \in \mathbb{R}_+$). Then we can rewrite the polynomials in Lemma 2.1 as following

$$(2.2) \quad p(h, k) := f(1 + h, 1 + h + k) = -\sum_{i=0}^9 \xi_i(h) k^i \geq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(h) &= 2h^9 (h + 1)^4, \quad \xi_1(h) = h^8 (16h + 7) (h + 1)^3, \\ \xi_2(h) &= 4h^6 (3h + 14h^2 + 14h^3 - 1) (h + 1)^2, \\ \xi_3(h) &= h^5 (h + 1) (3h + 98h^2 + 190h^3 + 112h^4 - 4), \\ \xi_4(h) &= h^4 (2h + 109h^2 + 322h^3 + 356h^4 + 140h^5 - 5), \\ \xi_5(h) &= 2h^3 (h + 1) (5h + 46h^2 + 88h^3 + 56h^4 - 1), \\ \xi_6(h) &= h^2 (h + 1) (13h + 64h^2 + 104h^3 + 56h^4 - 1), \\ \xi_7(h) &= h^2 (h + 1) (34h + 42h^2 + 16h^3 + 9), \\ \xi_8(h) &= 2h (4h + h^2 + 2) (h + 1)^2, \quad \xi_9(h) = (h + 1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha(x, y) : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ with $x = 1 + h$ and $y = 1 + h + k$ ($h, k \in \mathbb{R}_+$), we denote

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(h, k) : W_{\alpha(x, y)} \text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_q := \{(h, k) : W_{\alpha(x, y)} \text{ is quadratically hyponormal}\}.$$

Then it follows from [19, Theorem 4.2] that both $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ and $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$ are nonempty sets, *indeed*, a line segment $\{(\frac{1}{100}, k) : \beta_1 \leq k < \alpha_1\}$ [or $\{(\frac{1}{100}, k) : \beta_2 < k \leq \alpha_2\}$] contains in $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ [or $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$, respectively], where $\alpha_1 \approx 0.000787776068\dots$, $\alpha_2 \approx 0.0422764016\dots$, $\beta_1 \approx 0.000786885627\dots$, and $\beta_2 \approx 0.0402782805\dots$; see the proof of [19, Theorem 4.2]. In the next section, the polynomial in (2.2) can be used to describe the shape of \mathcal{R} as a crucial parts.

3. The shape of the region with semi-cubic hyponormality

Let $\alpha(x, y) : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ with $1 < x < y$ be a weight sequence as usual and let $W_{\alpha(x, y)}$ be the associated weighted shift with $x = 1 + h$ and $y = 1 + h + k$ ($h, k \in \mathbb{R}_+$). We may replace k by th , where t is a positive real number. Then $p(h, k)$ in (2.2) can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} p(h, k) &= p(h, th) \\ &= h^8 (\phi_0(t) + \phi_1(t)h + \phi_2(t)h^2 + \phi_3(t)h^3 + \phi_4(t)h^4 + \phi_5(t)h^5), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(t) &= 4t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + 2t^5 + t^6, \\ \phi_1(t) &= -2 - 7t - 4t^2 + t^3 - 2t^4 - 8t^5 - 12t^6 - 9t^7 - 4t^8 - t^9, \\ \phi_2(t) &= -8 - 37t - 76t^2 - 101t^3 - 109t^4 - 102t^5 - 77t^6 - 43t^7 - 16t^8 - 3t^9, \\ \phi_3(t) &= -12 - 69t - 180t^2 - 288t^3 - 322t^4 - 268t^5 - 168t^6 - 76t^7 - 22t^8 - 3t^9, \\ \phi_4(t) &= -8 - 55t - 168t^2 - 302t^3 - 356t^4 - 288t^5 - 160t^6 - 58t^7 - 12t^8 - t^9, \\ \phi_5(t) &= -2 - 16t - 56t^2 - 112t^3 - 140t^4 - 112t^5 - 56t^6 - 16t^7 - 2t^8. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(h, t) = \phi_0(t) + \phi_1(t)h + \phi_2(t)h^2 + \phi_3(t)h^3 + \phi_4(t)h^4 + \phi_5(t)h^5.$$

Then $W_{\alpha(x, y)}$ is semi-cubically hyponormal if and only if $\rho(h, t) \geq 0$ for all positive numbers h and t . We will detect the set

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(h, th) | \rho(h, t) = 0 \text{ and } h > 0, t > 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$$

to consider the region of semi-cubic hyponormality of $W_{\alpha(x, y)}$ below. In fact, the set \mathcal{C} will be a curve (see Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 3.1. *The set \mathcal{C} is a loop with polar form of $r = f(\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore \mathcal{R} is a starlike region with nonempty interior and $\mathcal{C} = \partial\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. First we fix $t = t_0 > 0$. Since $\phi_i(t_0)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) is negative obviously,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \rho(h, t_0) = \phi_1(t_0) + 2\phi_2(t_0)h + 3\phi_3(t_0)h^2 + 4\phi_4(t_0)h^3 + 5\phi_5(t_0)h^4$$

is negative for $h > 0$. Then it follows that $\rho(h, t_0)$ is decreasing in h . Since $\phi_0(t_0)$ is positive, the equation $\rho(h, t_0) = 0$ of h has a unique solution. \square

The following corollary improves the results of [19, Theorem 4.2], and its proof follows from the fact that the boundaries of \mathcal{R}_q and \mathcal{R} are loops with polar forms of $r = f(\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the above notation, we have the following assertions:*

- (i) $\mathcal{R}_q \cap \mathcal{R}$ is a starlike region with nonempty interior,
- (ii) $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ and $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$ are regions with nonempty interiors.

We now consider the tangent line to the closed curve \mathcal{C} near the origin.

Lemma 3.3. *The tangent line to \mathcal{C} converges to the x -axis as $(h, t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)$ and it converges to the y -axis as $(k, t) \rightarrow (0^+, \infty)$.*

Proof. We mimic the proof of [9, Lemma 4.7]. From $k = th$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{dk}{dh} = \frac{dt}{dh}h + t.$$

Since $\rho(h, t) = 0$ on \mathcal{C} , we get

$$\frac{dt}{dh} = -\frac{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h}}{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}} = -\frac{\phi_1(t) + 2\phi_2(t)h + 3\phi_3(t)h^2 + 4\phi_4(t)h^3 + 5\phi_5(t)h^4}{\phi'_0(t) + \phi'_1(t)h + \phi'_2(t)h^2 + \phi'_3(t)h^3 + \phi'_4(t)h^4 + \phi'_5(t)h^5}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{dk}{dh} = \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{dt}{dh}h.$$

Since $\lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{dt}{dh} = \infty$, by using the L'Hospital's rule and some elementary computations, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{dt}{dh}h &= \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{h}{\frac{dh}{dt}} = \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{1}{\frac{d}{dh} \left(\frac{dh}{dt} \right)} \\ &= \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{dh}{dt} \right) \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left(\frac{dh}{dt} \right)} \\ &= \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} \frac{F_1(h, t)}{F_2(h, t)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomials F_1 and F_2 of h and t (see <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03349.pdf> for expressions of F_1 and F_2) such that

$$\lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} F_1(h, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{(h,t) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+)} F_2(h, t) = 32.$$

Similarly, we have $\lim_{(k,t) \rightarrow (0^+, \infty)} \frac{dk}{dh} = \infty$. Hence the proof is complete. \square

We now set

$$(3.3) \quad h_M = \max\{h : (h, k) \in \mathcal{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad k_M = \max\{k : (h, k) \in \mathcal{R}, h \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

Obviously two maximum values h_M and k_M are well defined. Recall that the problem [9, Problem 5.1] which is finding the values or expressions of $\max\{h : (h, k) \in \mathcal{R}_q, k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ and $\max\{k : (h, k) \in \mathcal{R}_q, h \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ are not solved yet. Hence it is worthwhile finding extremal values h_M and k_M . We discuss the values of h_M and k_M below.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the same notation in (3.3), we have $0 < h_M < \frac{14}{100}$.*

Proof. We can obtain that

$$\rho\left(\frac{14}{100}, t\right) = \frac{1}{156250000} \sum_{k=0}^9 c_k t^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -73892007, \quad c_1 = -299457081, \quad c_2 = 217020204, \quad c_3 = 195013758, \\ c_4 &= 243084610, \quad c_5 = -308008392, \quad c_6 = -424167096, \quad c_7 = -364763406, \\ c_8 &= -146669607, \quad c_9 = -32408775. \end{aligned}$$

This can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^9 c_k t^k &< 10^7(-29t + 30t^2 + 20t^3 + 25t^4 - 30t^5 - 40t^6 - 35t^7 - 10t^8 - 3t^9) \\ &= 10^7 t(-29 + 30t + 20t^2 + 25t^3 - 30t^4 - 40t^5 - 35t^6 - 10t^7 - 3t^8) \\ &= 10^7 t((-4 + 20t^2 - 30t^4) + (-5 + 25t^3 - 35t^6) - 10t^7 - 3t^8 - \eta(t)) \\ &= 10^7 t\left(-A^2 - 5B^2 - \frac{101}{84} - 10t^7 - 3t^8 - \eta(t)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \sqrt{30}t^2 - \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}$, $B = \sqrt{7}t^3 - \frac{5}{\sqrt{28}}$ and $\eta(t) = 20 - 30t + 40t^5$. Here, since $\eta(t)$ has exactly one critical number $\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{20}}$ on \mathbb{R}_+ and $\eta''(t) > 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , $\eta(t)$ has a positive minimum at $\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{20}}$. So, $\rho\left(\frac{14}{100}, t\right)$ is negative and since \mathcal{C} is a loop in the first quadrant, \mathcal{C} lies on the left side of a line $h = \frac{14}{100}$. \square

Recall Descartes' rule of signs that if $p(x)$ is a polynomial with real coefficients, then the number of positive roots either is equal to the number of variations in sign of $p(x)$ or is less than that number by an even number; and the number of negative roots either is equal to the number of variations in sign of $p(-x)$ or is less than that number by an even number.

Lemma 3.5. *Given $h > 0$, there exist at most two roots (possibly a double root) $k_0 > 0$ such that $p(h, k_0) = 0$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 3.4, it is sufficient to consider $h < \frac{14}{100}$. Recall that

$$p(h, k) = - \sum_{i=0}^9 \xi_i(h) k^i,$$

where $\xi_i(h)$ are shown in (2.2). Here, all of the coefficients of $\xi_i(h)$, $i = 0, 1, 7, 8, 9$ are positive and $\xi_i(h)$, $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ has one variation in sign, so it has exactly one positive root ϵ_i for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, respectively. Especially, $\epsilon_6 \approx 0.0584537$ and $\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5 > \frac{14}{100}$, so $\xi_2(h), \xi_3(h), \xi_4(h), \xi_5(h)$ are negative for $h < \frac{14}{100}$. Hence the signs of the coefficients of $p(h, k)$ change twice as a polynomial in k for $h < \frac{14}{100}$. By Descartes' rule of signs, it follows that for fixed $h > 0$, the equation $p(h, k) = 0$ of k has no or two roots. \square

We may obtain the following lemma similarly.

Lemma 3.6. *Given $k > 0$, there exist at most two roots (possibly a double root) $h_0 > 0$ such that $p(h_0, k) = 0$.*

Note that \mathcal{C} consists of two functions $k = f_1(h)$ and $k = f_2(h)$ on the interval $(0, h_M]$. Similarly, \mathcal{C} consists of two functions $h = g_1(k)$ and $h = g_2(k)$ on the interval $(0, k_M]$.

Combining above lemmas, we obtain the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.7. *The region \mathcal{R} is a simply connected with boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$ such that*

- (i) $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is a loop with polar form $r = f(\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (ii) the tangent lines of $\partial\mathcal{R}$ near origin $(0, 0)$ converge to the x - and y - axes,
- (iii) $\text{card}(\partial\mathcal{R} \cap \{(a, k) : k \in \mathbb{R}\}) = 2$, where $0 < a < h_M$,
- (iv) $\text{card}(\partial\mathcal{R} \cap \{(h, b) : h \in \mathbb{R}\}) = 2$, where $0 < b < k_M$.²

4. Further remarks

Let $\alpha(x, y) : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^\wedge$ be a weight sequence with $x = 1 + h$ and $y = 1 + h + k$ ($h, k \in \mathbb{R}_+$). Recall that h_M and k_M are well defined (see Section 3). The problems of expressions about the extremal values h_M and k_M are a parallel ones which were suggested as a question in [9, Prob. 5.1]. So it is worth describing the extremal values h_M and k_M . For this purpose, we denote

$$(4.1) \quad Q := Q(h, t) = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \sum_{i=0}^5 \phi'_i(t) h^i.$$

From (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{dk}{dh} = \frac{dt}{dh} h + t = \frac{S}{Q},$$

² $\text{card}(\cdot)$ denotes for the cardinality of \cdot .

for a polynomial

$$(4.2) \quad S := S(h, t) = \sum_{j=0}^4 \nu_j(t) h^j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(t) &= 8t^2 + 12t^3 + 20t^4 + 10t^5 + 6t^6, \\ \nu_1(t) &= 2 - 4t^2 + 2t^3 - 6t^4 - 32t^5 - 60t^6 - 54t^7 - 28t^8 - 8t^9, \\ \nu_2(t) &= 16 + 37t - 101t^3 - 218t^4 - 306t^5 - 308t^6 - 215t^7 - 96t^8 - 21t^9, \\ \nu_3(t) &= 36 + 138t + 180t^2 - 322t^4 - 536t^5 - 504t^6 - 304t^7 - 110t^8 - 18t^9, \\ \nu_4(t) &= 32 + 165t + 336t^2 + 302t^3 - 288t^5 - 320t^6 - 174t^7 - 48t^8 - 5t^9, \\ \nu_5(t) &= 10 + 64t + 168t^2 + 224t^3 + 140t^4 - 56t^6 - 32t^7 - 6t^8. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Under the notation as in (3.3), we have that*

- (i) $h_M = \max \{h : \rho(h, t) = 0 \text{ and } Q(h, t) = 0\}$,
 - (ii) $k_M = \max \{th : \rho(h, t) = 0 \text{ and } S(h, t) = 0\}$,
- where $\rho(h, t)$, $Q(h, t)$ and $S(h, t)$ are as in (3.1), (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

Before closing this note, we describe the curvature of $\partial\mathcal{R}$ for the further information above the shape of \mathcal{R} . Since $k = th$,

$$\frac{d^2k}{dh^2} = \frac{d^2t}{dh^2} h + 2 \frac{dt}{dh},$$

and since $\rho(h, t) = 0$ on \mathcal{C} ,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h} = 0.$$

By differentiation with respect to h , we obtain that

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) \right] \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \frac{d^2t}{dh^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h} \right) \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h^2} = 0.$$

Then

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d^2t}{dh^2} = - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h \partial t} \right) \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t \partial h} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h^2}}{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}}.$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$\frac{d^2k}{dh^2} = \frac{2(t+1)P}{Q^3},$$

where a polynomial $P := P(h, t)$ as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad P(h, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{14} \mu_j(t) h^j$$

(see <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03349.pdf> for detail expression). Hence the curvature κ of \mathcal{C} can be represented by

$$\kappa = \frac{\left| \frac{d^2 k}{dh^2} \right|}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{dk}{dh} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{2(t+1)|P|}{(Q^2 + S^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

References

- [1] J. Y. Bae, I. B. Jung, and G. R. Exner, *Criteria for positively quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 11, 3287–3294.
- [2] S. Baek, G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and C. Li, *On semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights*, Kyungpook Math. J. **56** (2016), no. 3, 899–910.
- [3] ———, *Semi-cubic hyponormality of weighted shifts with Stampfli recursive tail*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **88** (2017), no. 2, 229–248.
- [4] Y. B. Choi, *A propagation of quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), no. 2, 347–352.
- [5] R. E. Curto, *Joint hyponormality: a bridge between hyponormality and subnormality*, in Operator theory: operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Durham, NH, 1988), 69–91, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 51, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [6] ———, *Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **13** (1990), no. 1, 49–66.
- [7] ———, *Polynomially hyponormal operators on Hilbert space*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **37** (1991), no. 1-2, 29–56 (1992).
- [8] R. E. Curto and L. A. Fialkow, *Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **17** (1993), no. 2, 202–246.
- [9] R. E. Curto and I. B. Jung, *Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts with two equal weights*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **37** (2000), no. 2, 208–231.
- [10] R. E. Curto and W. Y. Lee, *Solution of the quadratically hyponormal completion problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2479–2489.
- [11] R. E. Curto and M. Putinar, *Existence of nonsubnormal polynomially hyponormal operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **25** (1991), no. 2, 373–378.
- [12] ———, *Nearly subnormal operators and moment problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), no. 2, 480–497.
- [13] Y. Do, G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and C. Li, *On semi-weakly n -hyponormal weighted shifts*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **73** (2012), no. 1, 93–106.
- [14] G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and D. Park, *Some quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **60** (2008), no. 1, 13–36.
- [15] P. R. Halmos, *Normal dilations and extensions of operators*, Summa Brasil. Math. **2** (1950), 125–134.
- [16] I. B. Jung and S. S. Park, *Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts and their examples*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **36** (2000), no. 4, 480–498.
- [17] ———, *Cubically hyponormal weighted shifts and their examples*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **247** (2000), no. 2, 557–569.
- [18] C. Li, M. Cho, and M. R. Lee, *A note on cubically hyponormal weighted shifts*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014), no. 4, 1031–1040.
- [19] C. Li, M. R. Lee, and S. Baek, *Semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with recursive type*, Filomat **27** (2013), no. 6, 1043–1056.
- [20] Y. T. Poon and J. Yoon, *Quadratically hyponormal recursively generated weighted shifts need not be positively quadratically hyponormal*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **58** (2007), no. 4, 551–562.

- [21] J. G. Stampfli, *Which weighted shifts are subnormal?*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 367–379.
- [22] Wolfram Research, Inc, *Mathematica*, Version 8.0, Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, 2010.

SEUNGHWAN BAEK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEGU 41566, KOREA
Email address: `seunghwan@knu.ac.kr`

MI RYEONG LEE
INSTITUTE OF LIBERAL EDUCATION
DAEGU CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
GYEONGSAN 38430, KOREA
Email address: `leemr@cu.ac.kr`