

## YAMABE SOLITONS ON KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

SHYAMAL KUMAR HUI AND YADAB CHANDRA MANDAL

**ABSTRACT.** The present paper deals with a study of infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds, whose metric is Yamabe soliton and obtained sufficient conditions for such solitons to be expanding, steady and shrinking. Among others, we find a necessary and sufficient condition of a Yamabe soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to  $CL$ -connection to be Yamabe soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Levi-Civita connection. We found the necessary and sufficient condition for the Yamabe soliton structure to be invariant under Schouten-Van Kampen connection. Finally, we constructed an example of steady Yamabe soliton on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection.

### 1. Introduction and backgrounds

In [9], Kenmotsu characterized the geometric properties of class (iii) of Tanno's classification [17], which are nowadays called Kenmotsu manifolds. It may be noted that it is the  $(0, 1)$  type trans-Sasakian manifolds introduced by Oubiña [12].

It is known that loxodrome is a curve on the unit sphere that intersects the meridians at a fixed angle and  $C$ -loxodrome is a loxodrome cutting geodesic trajectories of the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  of the Sasakian manifold with constant angle. In 1963, Tashiro and Tachibana [18] introduced a transformation, called  $CL$ -transformation, on a Sasakian manifold under which  $C$ -loxodrome remains invariant. Here ' $CL$ ' stands for  $C$ -loxodrome.  $CL$ -transformation have been studied by various authors in different context such as Koto and Nagao [10], Takamatsu and Mizusawa [16], Shaikh et al. [14] and many others.

The notion of Yamabe flow was introduced by Hamilton ([6], [7]) as a tool for constructing metrics of constant scalar curvature in a given conformal class of Riemannian metrics on a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . The Yamabe

---

Received February 14, 2018; Revised May 22, 2018; Accepted May 24, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25, 53B05.

*Key words and phrases.* Yamabe soliton, Kenmotsu manifold, infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation, Schouten-Van Kampen connection.

flow is an evolution equation for metrics on a Riemannian manifolds as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -rg,$$

where  $r$  is the scalar curvature corresponding to  $g$ . In dimension  $n = 2$ , the Yamabe flow is equivalent to the Ricci flow. However, in dimension  $n > 2$ , the Yamabe and Ricci flows do not agree as the first one preserves the conformal class of the metric but the Ricci flow does not in general.

A Yamabe soliton is a special solution of the Yamabe flow that moves by one parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by a fixed (time-independent) vector field  $V$  on  $M$  and homothetic.

A Yamabe soliton on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is a triplet  $(g, V, \sigma)$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_V g = (r - \sigma)g,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_V$  denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of the vector field  $V$  and  $\sigma$  is a constant. The Yamabe soliton is said to be shrinking, steady and expanding according as  $\sigma < 0, = 0$  and  $> 0$  respectively. If  $\sigma$  is a smooth function on  $M$  then the metric satisfying (1.1) is called almost Yamabe soliton [1]. It may be noted that Yamabe solitons coincide with the Ricci solitons in dimension  $n = 2$  and for  $n > 2$ , the Ricci solitons and Yamabe solitons have different behaviours. In this connection, it is mentioned that Hui and Chakraborty [8] recently studied infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds whose metric tensor is Ricci soliton.

Motivated by the above studies, the present paper deals with the study of infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds whose metric is Yamabe soliton. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the study of infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformations and Yamabe solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds. It is proved that if  $(g, V, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  such that  $V$  is an infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation, then  $V$  is a projective Killing vector field. In [10] Koto and Nagao introduced a new type of an affine connection, called  $CL$ -connection. In this section we have studied Yamabe solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to  $CL$ -connection and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition of a Yamabe soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to  $CL$ -connection to be a Yamabe soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Levi-Civita connection. Among others, Yamabe soliton on  $CL$ -flat (respectively  $CL$ -symmetric and  $CL$ -semisymmetric) Kenmotsu manifolds are also investigated.

The Schouten-Van Kampen connection is one of the most natural connections adapted to a pair of complementary distributions on a smooth manifold endowed with an Affine connection [13]. Olszak [11] studied Schouten-Van Kampen connection in an almost contact metric structure. In [20], Yildiz et al. studied 3-dimensional  $f$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection and Chakrabarty et al. [3] recently studied Ricci solitons

on 3-dimensional  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection. Wang [19] studied Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds. In this connection it may be mentioned that Erken [5] studied Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional normal almost para-contact metric manifolds. In Section 4, we have studied Yamabe soliton on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection. We obtained the necessary and sufficient condition of Yamabe soliton on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold to be invariant under Schouten-Van Kampen connection. We showed that the example which is given by Shukla and Shukla [15] is a steady Yamabe soliton on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection.

## 2. Preliminaries

A smooth manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n = 2m + 1 \geq 3$ ) is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [2] if it admits a (1,1) tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$ , a 1-form  $\eta$  and a Riemannian metric  $g$  which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$ .

An almost contact metric manifold  $M^n(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to be Kenmotsu manifold if the following conditions hold [9]:

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X,$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $g$ .

In a Kenmotsu manifold  $M^n(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , the following relations hold [9]:

$$(2.6) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

$$(2.7) \quad S(X, \xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X)$$

for any vector field  $X, Y, Z$  on  $M$  and  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor and  $S$  is the Ricci tensor of type (0,2) such that  $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A vector field  $V$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  is said to be an infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation ([14], [16]) if it satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_V \{^h_{ij}\} = \rho_j \delta_i^h + \rho_i \delta_j^h + \alpha(\eta_j \phi_i^h + \eta_i \phi_j^h)$$

for a certain constant  $\alpha$ , where  $\rho_i$  are the components of the 1-form  $\rho$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_V$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $V$  and  $\{^h_{ij}\}$  is the Christoffel symbol of the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

We recall the followings:

**Proposition 2.1** ([14]). *If  $V$  is an infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ , then the 1-form  $\rho$  is closed.*

**Theorem 2.1** ([14]). *If  $V$  is an infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ , then the relation*

$$(2.9) \quad (\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y \rho)(Z) - \alpha g(Y, \phi Z)$$

holds for any vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$  on  $M$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([10]). A transformation  $f$  on an  $n(= 2m+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to be a  $CL$ -transformation if the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and a symmetric affine connection  $\nabla^f$ , called  $CL$ -connection, induced from  $\nabla$  by  $f$  are related by

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_X^f Y = \nabla_X Y + \rho(X)Y + \rho(Y)X + \alpha\{\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X\},$$

where  $\rho$  is a 1-form and  $\alpha$  is a constant.

If  $R$  and  $R^f$  are the curvature tensor with respect to Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and  $CL$ -connection  $\nabla^f$ , respectively, in a Kenmotsu manifold, then we have [14]

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} R^f(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + B(X, Z)Y - B(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - \alpha \left[ \{\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y\}\eta(Z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \{g(Y, Z)\phi X - g(X, Z)\phi Y\} - \{g(Y, \phi Z)\eta(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y) - 2g(X, \phi Y)\eta(Z)\}\xi \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $M$ , where the symmetric tensor field  $B$  is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} B(X, Y) &= (\nabla_X \rho)(Y) - \rho(X)\rho(Y) - \alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad - \alpha [\eta(X)\rho(\phi Y) + \eta(Y)\rho(\phi X)]. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.11) we get

$$(2.13) \quad S^f(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) - (n-1)B(Y, Z),$$

where  $S^f$  and  $S$  are respectively the Ricci tensor of a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the  $CL$ -connection  $\nabla^f$  and Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ .

Also from (2.13), we get

$$(2.14) \quad r^f = r - (n-1)Tr.B,$$

where  $r^f$  is the scalar curvature of Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to the  $CL$ -connection  $\nabla^f$ .

The Schouten-Van Kampen connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  are related by [13]

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X$$

If  $\tilde{R}$ ,  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{r}$  are the curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature on a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection then we have

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y,$$

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{S}(X, Y) = S(X, Y) + 2g(X, Y),$$

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{r} = r + 6$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ .

### 3. Infinitesimal $CL$ -transformations and Yamabe solitons

This section deals with the infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds whose metric tensor is Yamabe soliton.

Let us take a Yamabe soliton  $(g, V, \sigma)$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ . Then we get the relation (1.1). From (1.1) and (2.9), we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad (r - \sigma)g(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y \rho)(Z) - \frac{\alpha}{2}g(Y, \phi Z).$$

Since the metric tensor  $g$  is symmetric and the 1-form  $\rho$  is closed by Proposition 2.1, so interchanging  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (3.1) and subtracting the obtained result from (3.1), we get by virtue of (2.2) that  $\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) = 0$ , which implies that  $\alpha = 0$  and hence the infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation  $V$  is a projective Killing vector field. Also (3.1) yields

$$(3.2) \quad (r - \sigma)g(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y \rho)(Z).$$

This leads to the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $(g, V, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  such that  $V$  is an infinitesimal  $CL$ -transformation, then  $V$  is a projective Killing vector field and (3.2) holds.*

We now consider a Yamabe soliton  $(g, V, \sigma)$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to  $CL$ -connection  $\nabla^f$ . Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_V^f g)(Y, Z) = (r^f - \sigma)g(Y, Z),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_V^f$  is the Lie derivative along the vector field  $V$  on  $M$  with respect to  $CL$ -connection  $\nabla^f$ .

By virtue of (2.10) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V^f g)(Y, Z) &= g(\nabla_Y^f V, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z^f V) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y V + \rho(Y)V + \rho(V)Y + \alpha\{\eta(Y)\phi V + \eta(V)\phi Y\}, Z) \\ &\quad + g(Y, \nabla_Z V + \rho(Z)V + \rho(V)Z + \alpha\{\eta(Z)\phi V + \eta(V)\phi Z\}) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) + \rho(Y)g(V, Z) + \rho(Z)g(Y, V) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2\rho(V)g(Y, Z) + \alpha\{\eta(Y)g(\phi V, Z) + \eta(Z)g(Y, \phi V)\}.$$

In view of (2.14) and (3.4), (3.3) yields

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) - (r - \sigma)g(Y, Z) - (n - 1)Tr.B g(Y, Z) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\{\rho(Y)g(V, Z) + \rho(Z)g(Y, V)\} - \rho(V)g(Y, Z) \\ & - \frac{\alpha}{2}\{\eta(Y)g(\phi V, Z) + \eta(Z)g(Y, \phi V)\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(g, V, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Levi-Civita connection then (1.1) holds. Thus from (1.1) and (3.5), we can state the following:

**Theorem 3.2.** *A Yamabe soliton  $(g, V, \sigma)$  on a Kenmotsu manifold is invariant under  $CL$ -connection if and only if the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(Y)g(V, Z) + \rho(Z)g(Y, V) + 2\rho(V)g(Y, Z) \\ & + \alpha\{\eta(Y)g(\phi V, Z) + \eta(Z)g(Y, \phi V)\} + 2(n - 1)Tr.B g(Y, Z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds for arbitrary vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$ .

Now, let  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  be a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to  $CL$ -connection. Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_\xi^f g)(Y, Z) = (r^f - \sigma)g(Y, Z).$$

From (2.1), (2.2), (2.4) and (2.10), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\xi^f g)(Y, Z) &= g(\nabla_Y^f \xi, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z^f \xi) \\ &= g(Y - \eta(Y)\xi + \rho(Y)\xi + \rho(\xi)Y + \alpha\phi Y, Z) \\ &+ g(Y, Z - \eta(Z)\xi + \rho(Z)\xi + \rho(\xi)Z + \alpha\phi Z) \\ &= 2[\{1 + \rho(\xi)\}g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] + \rho(Y)\eta(Z) + \rho(Z)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.14) and (3.7) in (3.6), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{r - \sigma - 1 - \rho(\xi)\}g(Y, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\ & - (n - 1)Tr.B g(Y, Z) - \frac{1}{2}\{\rho(Y)\eta(Z) + \rho(Z)\eta(Y)\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following:

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to  $CL$ -connection, then (3.8) holds.*

Putting  $Y = Z = \xi$  in (3.8) and using (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma = r - 2\rho(\xi) - (n - 1)Tr.B.$$

This leads to the following:

**Theorem 3.4.** *A Yamabe soliton  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to  $CL$ -connection is shrinking, steady and expanding according as  $r \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} 2\rho(\xi) + (n-1)\text{Tr}.B$  respectively.*

Also, Shaikh et al. [14] proved the tensor field

$$\begin{aligned} A(X, Y)Z = & R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \{S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y\} \right. \\ & - \{g(Y, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\}QX + \{g(X, Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\}QY \\ & + \{S(X, Z) + (n-1)g(X, Z)\}\eta(Y)\xi \\ & - \{S(Y, Z) + (n-1)g(Y, Z)\}\eta(X)\xi \\ & \left. + 2\{S(X, Y) + (n-1)g(X, Y)\}\eta(Z)\xi \right] \\ & + \{g(Y, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\}X - \{g(X, Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\}Y \end{aligned}$$

is invariant on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  under a  $CL$ -transformation, and it is called the  $CL$ -curvature tensor field on  $M$ .

**Definition 3.1** ([14]). A Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  is said to be  $CL$ -flat if the  $CL$ -curvature tensor field  $A$  of the type (1, 3) vanishes identically on  $M$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([14]). A Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  is said to be  $CL$ -symmetric if  $\nabla A = 0$ .

**Definition 3.3** ([14]). A Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  is said to be  $CL$ -semisymmetric if the  $R(X, Y) \cdot A = 0$ .

In [14], Shaikh et al. proved that in a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ , the concept of  $CL$ -semisymmetry,  $CL$ -symmetry,  $CL$ -flatness and manifold of constant curvature -1, i.e., manifold is Einsteinian are equivalent and its Ricci tensor is of the form

$$(3.10) \quad S(Y, Z) = -(n-1)g(Y, Z).$$

From (3.10), we get

$$(3.11) \quad r = -n(n-1).$$

Again in [4], Debnath and Bhattacharyya studied second order parallel tensor in trans-Sasakian manifolds and as a corollary of their result we have the following:

**Theorem 3.5.** *In a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ , every second order parallel symmetric tensor is a constant multiple of the metric tensor.*

Suppose that the (0,2) type symmetric tensor field  $\mathcal{L}_V g - 2rg$  is parallel for any vector field  $V$  on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ . Then Theorem 3.5 yields  $\mathcal{L}_V g - 2rg$  is a constant multiple of the metric tensor  $g$ , i.e.,  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Y) - 2rg(X, Y) = -2\sigma g(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y$  on  $M$ , where  $\sigma$  is a constant. Hence the relation (1.1) holds. This implies that  $(g, V, \sigma)$  yields a Yamabe soliton. Hence we can state the following:

**Theorem 3.6.** *If the tensor field  $\mathcal{L}_V g - 2rg$  on a Kenmotsu manifold is parallel for any vector field  $V$ , then  $(g, V, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton.*

Let us consider  $h$  be a  $(0, 2)$  symmetric parallel tensor field on a Kenmotsu manifold such that

$$(3.12) \quad h(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) - 2rg(X, Y).$$

From (2.4) we have

$$(3.13) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) = 2[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)].$$

Using (3.11) and (3.13) in (3.12), we get

$$(3.14) \quad h(X, Y) = -2(n^2 - n - 1)g(X, Y) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Putting  $X = Y = \xi$  in (3.14), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad h(\xi, \xi) = -2n(n - 1).$$

If  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a Kenmotsu manifold  $M$ , then from (1.1) we have

$$(3.16) \quad h(X, Y) = -2\sigma g(X, Y)$$

and hence

$$(3.17) \quad h(\xi, \xi) = -2\sigma.$$

From (3.15) and (3.17) we get  $\sigma = n(n - 1) > 0$  and consequently the Yamabe soliton  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is expanding. Thus we can state the following:

**Theorem 3.7.** *If the tensor field  $\mathcal{L}_\xi g - 2rg$  on a  $CL$ -flat (respectively  $CL$ -symmetric,  $CL$ -semisymmetric) Kenmotsu manifold is parallel, then the Yamabe soliton  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is always expanding.*

#### 4. Schouten-Van Kampen connection and Yamabe solitons

This section deals with the Yamabe solitons on 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

Let  $(g, V, \sigma)$  be a Yamabe soliton on a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold  $M$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V g)(Y, Z) = (\tilde{r} - \sigma)g(Y, Z),$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V$  is the Lie derivative along the vector field  $V$  on  $M$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . By virtue (2.15) we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V g)(Y, Z) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_V V, Z) + g(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_Z V) \\ &= g(\nabla_V V + g(Y, V)\xi - \eta(V)Y, Z) \\ &\quad + g(Y, \nabla_Z V + g(Z, V)\xi - \eta(V)Z) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) + g(Y, V)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad + g(Z, V)\eta(Y) - 2\eta(V)g(Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.2) and (2.18) in (4.1) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = (r - \sigma)g(Y, Z) + \{6 + \eta(V)\}g(Y, Z) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\{g(Y, V)\eta(Z) + g(Z, V)\eta(Y)\}.$$

So, from (1.1) and (4.3), we can state the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** *A Yamabe soliton  $(g, V, \sigma)$  on a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold is invariant under Schouten-Van Kampen connection if and only if the relation*

$$\{6 + \eta(V)\}g(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}\{g(Y, V)\eta(Z) + g(Z, V)\eta(Y)\}$$

*holds for arbitrary vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$ .*

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is a Yamabe soliton on a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection then its scalar curvature with respect to Levi-Civita connection is  $\sigma - 6$  and that Yamabe soliton  $(g, \xi, \sigma)$  is shrinking, steady and expanding according as  $r \leq -6$  respectively.*

*Proof.* From (4.2), we obtain  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\xi g)(Y, Z) = 0$  and using (2.18) in (4.1) we get the requested result.  $\square$

**Example 4.1.** We refer the example of Kenmotsu manifold constructed by Shukla and Shukla [15].

Consider the 3-dimensional manifold  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \neq 0\}$  and choose the vector fields are  $e_1 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $e_2 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ ,  $e_3 = -x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ , which are linearly independent at each point of  $M$ . Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric defined by  $g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ . The 1-form  $\eta$  is defined by  $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$  for any vector field  $Z$  on  $M$  and the  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\phi$  is defined by  $\phi(e_1) = e_2$ ,  $\phi(e_2) = -e_1$ ,  $\phi(e_3) = 0$ .

For  $e_3 = \xi$ , and using Koszul's formula, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= e_1, & \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= e_2, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -e_3, & \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently for  $e_3 = \xi$ ,  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with its scalar curvature  $r$  is equal to  $-6$  [15].

By virtue of (2.15) and (4.4) we obtain that

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  form a basis of  $M$ , any vector field  $Y, Z \in \chi(M)$  can be written as  $Y = a_1 e_1 + b_1 e_2 + c_1 e_3$ ,  $Z = a_2 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + c_2 e_3$ , where  $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then for  $e_3 = \xi$ , we have  $\tilde{\nabla}_Y \xi = 0$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi = 0$  and hence  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\xi g)(Y, Z) = 0$ . Also we can calculate that  $\tilde{r} = 0$ . Hence the relation (4.1) holds for  $V = \xi$

and  $\sigma = 0$ . Thus it is a steady Yamabe soliton with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection with potential vector field  $e_3 = \xi$  and Corollary 4.1 is verified.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are thankful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions towards to the improvement of the paper. The first author (S. K. H.) would like to express his gratitude to University of Burdwan for providing administrative and technical support. The second author (Y. C. M.) gratefully acknowledges to the Higher Education Department, Government of West Bengal for financial assistance through Swami Vivekananda Merit Cum Means Scholarship.

### References

- [1] E. Barbosa and E. Ribeiro, Jr., *On conformal solutions of the Yamabe flow*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **101** (2013), no. 1, 79–89.
- [2] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] D. Chakraborty, V. N. Mishra, and S. K. Hui, *Ricci solitons on three dimensional  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to Schouten-Van Kampen connection*, J. Ultra Scientist of Physical Sciences **30** (2018), no. 1, 86–91.
- [4] S. Debnath and A. Bhattacharyya, *Second order parallel tensor in trans-Sasakian manifolds and connection with Ricci soliton*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **33** (2012), no. 4, 312–316.
- [5] K. Erken, *Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional normal almost para-contact metric manifolds*, arXiv: 1708.04882v2. [math. DG] (2017).
- [6] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, in Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 237–262, Contemp. Math., 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [7] R. S. Hamilton, *Lectures on geometric flows*, unpublished manuscript, 1989.
- [8] S. K. Hui and D. Chakraborty, *Infinitesimal CL-transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds*, Bangmod Int. J. Math. and Comp. Sci. **3** (2017), 1–9.
- [9] K. Kenmotsu, *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **24** (1972), 93–103.
- [10] S. Koto and M. Nagao, *On an invariant tensor under a CL-transformation*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **18** (1966), 87–95.
- [11] Z. Olszak, *The Schouten-van Kampen affine connection adapted to an almost (para) contact metric structure*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **94(108)** (2013), 31–42.
- [12] J. A. Oubiña *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **32** (1985), no. 3-4, 187–193.
- [13] J. A. Schouten and E. R. van Kampen, *Zur Einbettungs- und Krümmungstheorie nichtholonomer Gebilde*, Math. Ann. **103** (1930), no. 1, 752–783.
- [14] A. A. Shaikh, F. R. Al-Solamy, and H. Ahmad, *Some transformations on Kenmotsu manifolds*, SUT J. Math. **49** (2013), no. 2, 109–119.
- [15] S. S. Shukla and M. K. Shukla, *On  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds*, Novi Sad J. Math. **39** (2009), no. 2, 89–95.
- [16] K. Takamatsu and H. Mizusawa, *On infinitesimal CL-transformations of compact normal contact metric spaces*, Sci. Rep. Niigata Univ. Ser. A No. **3** (1966), 31–39.
- [17] S. Tanno, *The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **21** (1969), 21–38.
- [18] Y. Tashiro and S. Tachibana, *On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **15** (1963), 176–183.

- [19] Y. Wang, *Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **23** (2016), no. 3, 345–355.
- [20] A. Yildiz and A. Sazak,  *$f$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with the Schouten–van Kampen connection*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **102(116)** (2017), 93–105.

SHYAMAL KUMAR HUI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN  
GOLAPBAG, BURDWAN–713104, WEST BENGAL, INDIA  
*Email address:* [skhui@math.buruniv.ac.in](mailto:skhui@math.buruniv.ac.in)

YADAB CHANDRA MANDAL  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN  
GOLAPBAG, BURDWAN–713104, WEST BENGAL, INDIA  
*Email address:* [myadab436@gmail.com](mailto:myadab436@gmail.com)