

## EQUIDIMENSIONAL LOCAL RINGS WITH FINITE COUSIN COHOMOLOGY MODULES

ALIREZA VAHIDI

ABSTRACT. It is shown that any equidimensional local ring which has finite Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the dimension filtration has a uniform local cohomological annihilator and is universally catenary.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout  $R$  will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity and  $X$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module which is not necessarily finite (i.e., finitely generated). We will say that  $X$  is equidimensional if  $\dim_R(X) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$  for each  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\text{Min}_R(X)$  (i.e., the set of minimal elements of  $\text{Supp}_R(X)$ ). For basic results, notations, and terminology not given in this paper, the reader is referred to [1, 2, 10].

The notion of Cousin complex for an  $R$ -module was introduced by Sharp [11] as an analogue of Hartshorne [7]. Sharp in [13] generalized this concept to the Cousin complex for an  $R$ -module  $X$  with respect to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and denoted this complex by  $C_R(\mathcal{F}, X)$ . The Cousin cohomology modules (i.e., the cohomology modules of  $C_R(\mathcal{F}, X)$ ) have been studied by several authors. In [12, 13], Sharp studied the vanishing of Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the height and dimension filtrations. Dibaei, Tousi, Jafari, and Kawasaki, in [3–6, 8], worked on the finiteness of Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the height filtration and, in [9, Proposition 9.3.5], Lipman, Nayak, and Sastry generalized their results to complexes on formal schemes.

In this paper, we study the finiteness of Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the dimension filtration and show that any equidimensional local ring which has finite Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the dimension filtration has a uniform local cohomological annihilator and is universally catenary. Recall that an element  $r$  of  $R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_R(X)} \mathfrak{p}$  is called a uniform local cohomological annihilator of  $X$ , if  $r H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(X) = 0$  for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$

---

Received September 4, 2017; Revised March 25, 2018; Accepted April 25, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 13D45.

*Key words and phrases.* Cousin complexes, equidimensional local rings, local cohomology modules, universally catenary rings.

This research was in part supported by a grant from Payame Noor University.

and for all  $i < \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$ , where  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(X)$  is the  $i$ th local cohomology module of  $X$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

## 2. Main results

Let  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  be the family of subsets of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  with

$$D_i = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : \dim(R) - \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq i\}.$$

Then, for all  $i \geq 0$ , we have

- $\text{Supp}_R(X) \subseteq D_0$ ,
- $D_i \supseteq D_{i+1}$ , and
- $D_i \setminus D_{i+1}$  is low with respect to  $D_i$  (i.e., each member of  $D_i \setminus D_{i+1}$  is a minimal member of  $D_i$  with respect to inclusion).

The family  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the dimension filtration of  $R$ . The Cousin complex for  $X$  with respect to the dimension filtration is of the form

$$C_R(\mathcal{D}, X) : 0 \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} X \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} X^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{i-2}} X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} X^i \xrightarrow{d^i} \dots$$

where, for all  $i \geq 0$ ,

- $X^i = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in D_i \setminus D_{i+1}} (\text{Coker } d^{i-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and
- $d^{i-1}(x) = \left\{ \frac{x + \text{Im } d^{i-2}}{1} \right\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in D_i \setminus D_{i+1}}$  for every element  $x$  of  $X^{i-1}$ ;

and satisfies

- $\text{Supp}_R(X^i) \subseteq D_i$ ,
- $\text{Supp}_R(\text{Coker } d^{i-2}) \subseteq D_i$ , and
- $\text{Supp}_R(H^{i-1}(C_R(\mathcal{D}, X))) \subseteq D_{i+1}$

(see [11, 13] for details). For all  $i \geq 0$ , we will use the notations  $C^{i-2} := \text{Coker } d^{i-2}$  and  $H^{i-1} := H^{i-1}(C_R(\mathcal{D}, X))$ .

The following lemma is needed in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that*

$$X' \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X''$$

*is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then*

$$(0 :_R X')(0 :_R X'') \subseteq (0 :_R X).$$

*Proof.* This is easy and left to the reader.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(X^j) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and for all  $j < \dim(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i$  and  $j$  be integers such that  $i \geq 0$  and  $j < \dim(R)$ . It is enough to show that  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i((\text{Coker } d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$  because  $X^j = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in D_j \setminus D_{j+1}} (\text{Coker } d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$  and so there is an element  $r \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Since the multiplication map

$$(\text{Coker } d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{r} (\text{Coker } d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is an  $R$ -isomorphism, the multiplication map

$$\mathrm{H}_m^i((\mathrm{Coker} d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}) \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{H}_m^i((\mathrm{Coker} d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

is also an  $R$ -isomorphism and so  $\mathrm{H}_m^i((\mathrm{Coker} d^{j-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\prod_{i=0}^j (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(H^{i-1})) \subseteq (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^j(X))$$

for all  $j < \dim(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $j$  be an integer such that  $j < \dim(R)$  and let  $i$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq i \leq j$ . By considering the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}} \longrightarrow X^i \longrightarrow C^{i-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{i-1} \longrightarrow C^{i-2} \longrightarrow \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have the long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m\left(\frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m(X^i) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m(C^{i-1}) \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m(H^{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m(C^{i-2}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_m\left(\frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

We have  $\mathrm{H}_m^{j-i-1}(C^{i-1}) \cong \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}\left(\frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right)$  because  $\mathrm{H}_m^{j-i-1}(X^i) = 0 = \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(X^i)$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus

$$(0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(H^{i-1}))(0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i-1}(C^{i-1})) \subseteq (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(C^{i-2}))$$

from Lemma 2.1. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^j (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(H^{i-1})) &\subseteq \left( \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(H^{i-1})) \right) (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^0(C^{j-2})) \\ &\subseteq \left( \prod_{i=0}^{j-2} (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^{j-i}(H^{i-1})) \right) (0 :_R \mathrm{H}_m^1(C^{j-3})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\subseteq \cdots \\
&\subseteq (0 :_R H_m^j(H^{-1}))(0 :_R H_m^{j-1}(C^{-1})) \\
&\subseteq (0 :_R H_m^j(C^{-2})) \\
&= (0 :_R H_m^j(X))
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\prod_{i=0}^j (0 :_R H^{i-1}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^j (0 :_R H_m^i(X))$$

for all  $j < \dim(R)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

In the following theorem, which is the main result of this paper, we show that any equidimensional local ring which has finite Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the dimension filtration has a uniform local cohomological annihilator.

**Theorem 2.5** (see [4, Theorem 2.7]). *Suppose that  $R$  is an equidimensional local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $H^i(C_R(\mathcal{D}, R))$  is finite for all  $i$ . Then  $R$  has a uniform local cohomological annihilator.*

*Proof.* For all  $i \geq -1$ , we use the notation  $H^i := H^i(C_R(\mathcal{D}, R))$ . Since  $R$  is equidimensional,  $D_1 \cap \text{Min}_R(R) = \emptyset$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : (0 :_R H^i) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} &= \text{Supp}_R(H^i) \\
&\subseteq D_{i+2} \\
&\subseteq D_1
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \geq -1$  because  $H^i$  is finite for all  $i \geq -1$ . Hence

$$\prod_{i=-1}^{\dim(R)-2} (0 :_R H^i) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_R(R)} \mathfrak{p}$$

and so there exists an element

$$r \in \prod_{i=-1}^{\dim(R)-2} (0 :_R H^i) \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_R(R)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\prod_{i=-1}^{\dim(R)-2} (0 :_R H^i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i < \dim(R)} (0 :_R H_m^i(R))$$

by Lemma 2.4. Thus, for all  $i < \dim(R)$ ,  $r H_m^i(R) = 0$ .  $\square$

We end this paper by showing that any equidimensional local ring which has finite Cousin cohomology modules with respect to the dimension filtration is universally catenary.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose that  $R$  is an equidimensional local ring such that  $H^i(C_R(\mathcal{D}, R))$  is finite for all  $i$ . Then  $R$  is universally catenary.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.5 and [14, Theorem 2.1(ii)].  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for the invaluable comments on the manuscript.

### References

- [1] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **60**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] M. T. Dibaei, *A study of Cousin complexes through the dualizing complexes*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 1, 119–132.
- [4] M. T. Dibaei and R. Jafari, *Modules with finite Cousin cohomologies have uniform local cohomological annihilators*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 8, 3291–3300.
- [5] M. T. Dibaei and M. Tousi, *The structure of dualizing complex for a ring which is  $(S_2)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38** (1998), no. 3, 503–516.
- [6] ———, *A generalization of the dualizing complex structure and its applications*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **155** (2001), no. 1, 17–28.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [8] T. Kawasaki, *Finiteness of Cousin cohomologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 5, 2709–2739.
- [9] J. Lipman, S. Nayak and P. Sastry, *Pseudofunctorial behavior of Cousin complexes on formal schemes*, in Variance and duality for Cousin complexes on formal schemes, 3–133, Contemp. Math., 375, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [10] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **85**, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1979.
- [11] R. Y. Sharp, *The Cousin complex for a module over a commutative Noetherian ring*, Math. Z. **112** (1969), 340–356.
- [12] ———, *Gorenstein modules*, Math. Z. **115** (1970), 117–139.
- [13] ———, *A Cousin complex characterization of balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **33** (1982), no. 132, 471–485.
- [14] C. Zhou, *Uniform annihilators of local cohomology*, J. Algebra **305** (2006), no. 1, 585–602.

ALIREZA VAHIDI  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY (PNU)  
 P.O.BOX, 19395-4697, TEHRAN, IRAN  
 Email address: vahidi.ar@pnu.ac.ir