Commun. Korean Math. Soc.  ${\bf 0}$  (0), No. 0, pp. 1–0 https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c160184 pISSN: 1225-1763 / eISSN: 2234-3024

# SUPERCYCLICITY OF $\ell^p$ -SPHERICAL AND TORAL ISOMETRIES ON BANACH SPACES

MOHAMMAD ANSARI, KARIM HEDAYATIAN, AND BAHRAM KHANI-ROBATI

ABSTRACT. Let  $p \geq 1$  be a real number. A tuple  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  of commuting bounded linear operators on a Banach space X is called an  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry if  $\sum_{i=1}^n ||T_ix||^p = ||x||^p$  for all  $x \in X$ . The tuple T is called a toral isometry if each  $T_i$  is an isometry. By a result of Ansari, Hedayatian, Khani-Robati and Moradi, for every  $n \geq 1$ , there is a supercyclic  $\ell^2$ -spherical isometric n-tuple on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  but there is no supercyclic  $\ell^2$ -spherical isometry on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. In this article, we investigate the supercyclicity of  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometries and toral isometries on Banach spaces. Also, we introduce the notion of semicommutative tuples and we show that the Banach spaces  $\ell^p$   $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ support supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometric semi-commutative tuples. As a result, all separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert spaces support supercyclic spherical isometric semi-commutative tuples.

### 1. Introduction

An *n*-tuple of operators is a finite sequence of length *n* of commuting bounded linear operators  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$  acting on a Banach space *X*. For an *n*-tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_T$  be the multiplicative semigroup generated by  $T_i$ 's, i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_T = \{T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_n^{k_n} : k_i \ge 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . If there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that the set  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x) = \{Sx : S \in \mathcal{F}_T\}$  is dense in *X* then *T* is said to be a hypercyclic tuple and *x* is called a hypercyclic vector for *T*. The *n*-tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$  is said to be supercyclic if there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathbb{C}.\operatorname{orb}(T, x) = \{\lambda Sx : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, S \in \mathcal{F}_T\}$  is dense in *X*. In that case, the vector *x* is called a supercyclic vector for *T*. These definitions generalize the notions of hypercyclicity and supercyclicity of a single operator to a tuple of operators. The hypercyclicity of tuples of operators was first investigated by Feldman [4]. Also, The supercyclicity of tuples of operators was first investigated by Soltani, Hedayatian and Khani-Robati [9].

Recall that a tuple  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  on a Hilbert space H is called a spherical isometry if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^* T_i = I$ .

©2017 Korean Mathematical Society



Received September 10, 2016; Revised December 8, 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A16; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. spherical isometry, toral isometry, supercyclic.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 2 of [2]). For every  $n \ge 1$ , there is a supercyclic spherical isometric n-tuple on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Proposition 1 of [2]). There is no supercyclic spherical isometry on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

In Section 2, we investigate  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometries on Banach spaces and ask if there is an infinite-dimensional Banach space that supports a supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry. We show that if  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is a supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry on  $\ell^q$   $(1 \le q < \infty)$  then none of  $T_i$ 's can be a weighted backward shift. Also, we define semi-commutative tuples and we show that every separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space supports a supercyclic spherical isometric semi-commutative tuple. We prove that there is no supercyclic toral isometry on any Banach space with dimension more than one.

## 2. $\ell^p$ -Spherical and toral isometries on Banach spaces

Recall from [6] that for a real number  $p \ge 1$ , a tuple  $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$  on a Banach space X is called  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry if  $\sum_{i=1}^n ||T_ix||^p = ||x||^p$  for every  $x \in X$ . For complex Hilbert spaces,  $\ell^2$ -spherical isometries are spherical isometries. Indeed, a tuple  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  on a complex Hilbert space H, is a spherical isometry if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n ||T_ix||^2 = ||x||^2$  for every  $x \in H$ .

Question 2.1. Is there any infinite-dimensional Banach space which supports a supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry?

If we think about the negative answer to the question, we may naturally try to show that a spherical isometric tuple may not include a supercyclic operator (a tuple which includes a supercyclic operator is clearly supercyclic). The following proposition shows that the famous supercyclic operator  $B_W$  may not be a member of an  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry on  $X = C_0$  or  $\ell^q$   $(1 \le q < \infty)$ . If  $(e_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is the canonical basis of X and  $W = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a bounded sequence of positive numbers, recall that the weighted backward shift  $B_W$  on X is defined by  $B_W e_0 = 0$  and  $B_W e_n = w_n e_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 1)$ . It is known that  $B_W$  is always supercyclic [5].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $X = C_0$  or  $\ell^q$   $(1 \le q < \infty)$  and  $B_W$  be a weighted backward shift on X. Then there are no operators  $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in L(X)$  such that  $(B_W, T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is an  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry.

Proof. To get a contradiction, suppose that  $(B_W, T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is an  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry. Let  $W = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the weight sequence for  $B_W$  and  $(e_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the canonical basis of X. If  $x = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j e_j \in C_{00}$ , then  $B_W^N x = 0$  and so for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  we have  $B_W^N T_i x = T_i B_W^N x = 0$  which shows that  $T_i x = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} b_{ij} e_j$ . In particular,  $T_i e_0 = c_i e_0$  and  $T_i e_1 = a_i e_0 + b_i e_1$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^n ||T_i e_0||^p = \sum_{i=1}^n ||T_i e_0||^p + ||B_W e_0||^p = ||e_0||^p = 1$ . On the other hand, for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  we have  $b_i w_1 e_0 = B_W T_i e_1 = T_i B_W e_1 = 0$   $T_i(w_1e_0) = w_1c_ie_0$  and so  $b_i = c_i$ . Now, the  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry condition for  $x = e_1$  gives  $\sum_{i=1}^n ||a_ie_0 + c_ie_1||^p = 1 - w_1^p$ . But, regarding the norm on X, we have  $||a_ie_0 + c_ie_1|| \ge |c_i|$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . This gives  $1 - w_1^p \ge 1$  which is not true.

**Definition 2.3.** We say that  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is a semi-commutative tuple on a Banach space X if for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker}(T_iT_j - T_jT_i)$  is either X or a hyperplane in X. The semi-commutative tuple  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is said to be supercyclic if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that the set  $\{\lambda T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_n^{k_n} x : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, k_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$  is dense in X.

**Proposition 2.4.** The Banach spaces  $\ell^p$   $(1 \leq p < \infty)$  support supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometric semi-commutative tuples.

*Proof.* Fix a real number  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we define  $T_i$  on  $\ell^p$  by  $T_i(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = (r_i a_0, (\frac{3}{4n})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_1, (\frac{3}{4n})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_2, (\frac{3}{4n})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_3, \dots)$  where  $\sum_{i=1}^n |r_i|^p = 1$ . Also, define

$$S(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = \left( (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_1, (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_2, (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{p}} a_3, \dots \right).$$

Then it is easy to see that for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n, T_iT_j = T_jT_i$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(ST_i - T_iS)$  is either  $\ell^p$  or M, where M is the hyperplane in  $\ell^p$  consisting of all vectors x for which  $a_1 = 0$ . Thus,  $(S, T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is a semi-commutative tuple on  $\ell^p$ . On the other hand, if we put  $x = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots)$ , then we have  $||Sx||^p + \sum_{i=1}^n ||T_ix||^p = ||x||^p$ . This shows that the semi-commutative tuple  $(S, T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is an  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry. Finally, the supercyclicity of this semi-commutative tuple follows from the supercyclicity of the weighted backward shift S.

We saw in Theorem 1.2 that no infinite-dimensional Hilbert space can support a supercyclic spherical isometric tuple. We use Proposition 2.4 to get the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** Every separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space supports a supercyclic spherical isometric semi-commutative tuple.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4, there is a semi-commutative tuple  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  on  $\ell^2$  which is supercyclic spherical isometry. If H is any separable infinitedimensional complex Hilbert space and  $U: H \to \ell^2$  is an isometric isomorphism, then it can be easily verified that  $(U^{-1}T_1U, \ldots, U^{-1}T_nU)$  is a supercyclic spherical isometric semi-commutative tuple on H.

From [8], we recall that a bounded complex sequence  $\xi \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$  almost converges to a complex number c if  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |c - k^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-1} \xi(j)| = 0$ . We say that the sequence  $\xi$  almost converges to c in the strong sense if  $|\xi - c1|$ almost converges to zero, where 1 stands for the constant 1 sequence. A gauge

3

function is a mapping  $p : \mathbb{N} \to (0, \infty)$  with the property that  $\{\frac{p(n+1)}{p(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ almost converges in the strong sense to a positive number c. The set of all gauge functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now suppose that X is a complex Banach space and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  denote the set of bounded, linear operators acting on X. We say that the norm-sequence of an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is compatible with the gauge function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , if  $||T^n|| \leq p(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the sequence  $\{\frac{||T^n||}{p(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not almost converge to zero. The set of all such operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(p, X)$ . It is shown in [7] that  $\{\frac{p(n+1)}{p(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  almost converges to the spectral radius r(T) for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(p, X)$ . The operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(p, X)$ belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_1.(p, X)$  if  $\{\frac{||T^n x||}{p(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not almost converge to zero for all non-zero vectors  $x \in X$ . We remind the reader that a (closed) subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  is hyperinvariant for T, if  $C\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  holds for every operator C commuting with T.

**Theorem 2.6** (Main Theorem of [8]). Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be an operator belonging to the class  $\mathcal{C}_1.(p, X), p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let us assume that there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in X such that the vectors  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  span an infinite dimensional subspace,  $Tx_n = x_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\log^* (r(T)^{-n} \|x_n\|)}{1 + n^2} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of non-zero hyperinvariant subspaces of T such that

$$\mathcal{X}_n \cap (\bigvee_{j \neq n} \mathcal{X}_j) = \{0\}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, if  $\sigma_p(T) \cap r(T)\mathbb{T} = \emptyset$ , then

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\bigvee_{j\geq n}\mathcal{X}_j)=\{0\}$$

The authors in [1] proved that isometries on Banach spaces with dimension more than one are not supercyclic. In the following theorem, we generalize this result to toral isometries. Here  $\sigma_p(T)$  stands for the point spectrum of T and  $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $\log^* t := 0$  if  $0 \le t \le 1$  and  $\log^* t := \log t$  if  $t \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose that X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then there does not exist a supercyclic toral isometry on X.

*Proof.* We prove the theorem for 2-tuples; for other *n*-tuples  $(n \ge 3)$  the proof is similar. We argue by contradiction. Assume that  $x_0$  is a supercyclic vector for the pair  $T = (T_1, T_2)$ . Let x be a nonzero vector in X. Therefore, there are two sequences of non-negative integers  $\{k_i\}_i$  and  $\{s_i\}_i$  and a sequence of scalars  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$  such that

(1) 
$$\alpha_i T_1^{k_i} T_2^{s_i} x_0 \longrightarrow x$$

which implies that for large i we have

$$||x|| - |\alpha_i|||x_0|| \le ||\alpha_i T_1^{k_i} T_2^{k_i} x_0 - x|| < \frac{||x||}{2}.$$

Thus

(2) 
$$|\alpha_i| > \frac{\|x\|}{2\|x_0\|} \qquad \forall i \ge i_0$$

for some  $i_0$ . On the other hand, if z is an arbitrary element in X, then there are two sequences of non-negative integers  $\{m_j\}_j$  and  $\{n_j\}_j$  and a sequence of scalars  $\{\beta_j\}_j$  such that

$$\beta_j T_1^{n_j} T_2^{m_j} x_0 \longrightarrow z$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are isometries there is a positive integer  $j_0$  such that

$$|\beta_j| < \frac{\|z\| + \|z\|}{\|x_0\|}$$

and

(4) 
$$\|\beta_j T_1^{n_j} T_2^{m_j} x_0 - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all  $j \ge j_0$ . Now if *i* and *j* are sufficiently large, then (1), (2) and (3) imply that

(5) 
$$\frac{|\beta_j|}{|\alpha_i|} \|x - \alpha_i T_1^{k_i} T_2^{s_i} x_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence there are positive integers i and j such that  $n_j > k_i$  and  $m_j > s_i$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_i} T_1^{n_j - k_i} T_2^{m_j - s_i} x - z \right\| &\leq \left| \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_i} \right| \left\| T_1^{n_j - k_i} T_2^{m_j - s_i} x - \alpha_i T_1^{n_j - k_i + k_i} T_2^{m_j - s_i + s_i} x_0 \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \beta_j T_1^{n_j} T_2^{m_j} x_0 - z \right\| \\ &= \left| \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_i} \right| \left\| x - \alpha_i T_1^{k_i} T_2^{s_i} x_0 \right\| + \left\| \beta_j T_1^{n_j} T_2^{m_j} x_0 - z \right\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that every nonzero vector x is a supercyclic vector for the pair  $(T_1, T_2)$ . Thus,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  do not admit common non-trivial (closed) invariant subspaces. Indeed, if N is such a subspace and x is a nonzero vector in N, then  $\{\lambda T_1^k T_2^m x : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, k, m \ge 0\} \subset N$  and so  $N = \overline{N} = X$ . This shows in particular that both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are surjective and hence invertible.

If p(n) = 1 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is easily seen that the operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are in the class  $C_1(p, X)$ . Put  $x_n = T_1^n x_0$  and  $y_n = T_2^n x_0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume that  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n$  and  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n$  are finite-dimensional; therefore, dim $X = \dim \mathbb{C}$ .  $\overline{orb(T, x_0)} \leq (\dim \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n)(\dim \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n) < \infty$  which is absurd. So without loss of generality we can assume that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  spans an infinite-dimensional subspace. Since  $r(T_1) = 1$ , all conditions of Theorem 2.6 hold for the operator

 $T_1$ . It follows that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have a common nontrivial invariant subspace which is a contradiction.

Remark 2.8. The assertion of Theorem 2.7 is also true for all Banach spaces X with  $1 < \dim X < \infty$ . Since two commuting complex matrices have a common eigenvector, we conclude that there is a non-trivial subspace N of X that is invariant under the operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . On the other hand, according to the proof of the above theorem, every nonzero vector x is a supercyclic vector for the pair  $(T_1, T_2)$ . Hence for every nonzero element  $x \in N$  the set  $\mathbb{C}.orb((T_1, T_2), x) \subset N$  is dense in X, which is a contradiction.

Denote by Iso(X) the set of all isometries on X.

**Proposition 2.9.** Suppose that  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||T_i x||^p = ||x||^p$  for every  $x \in X$ . If (n-1) operators among  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Iso(X), then the last one also belongs to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Iso(X).

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ ,  $T_i = a_i A_i$ where  $A_i \in \text{Iso}(X)$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . If we put  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_i|^p$ , then we have  $a \|x\|^p + \|T_n x\|^p = \|x\|^p$  or  $\|T_n x\|^p = (1-a)\|x\|^p$  for all  $x \in X$ . If a = 1, then  $T_n = 0 = 0.I$  and we are done. Otherwise, if we put  $S = (1-a)^{\frac{-1}{p}}T_n$ , then S is clearly an isometry. Consequently,  $T_n = (1-a)^{\frac{1}{p}}S$  and the proof is complete.

It is clear that the tuple  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is supercyclic if and only if  $(a_1T_1, \ldots, a_nT_n)$  is supercyclic where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are arbitrary non-zero scalars. Regarding this fact, together with Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.9, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let X be a Banach space with  $\dim X > 1$ . If  $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$  is a supercyclic  $\ell^p$ -spherical isometry, then at most (n-2) operators among  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  may belong to  $\mathbb{C}.Iso(X)$ .

#### References

- S. I. Ansari and P. S. Bourdon, Some properties of cyclic operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 63 (1997), no. 1-2, 195–207.
- [2] M. Ansari, K. Hedayatian, B. Khani-Robati, and A. Moradi, Supercyclicity of joint isometries, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 52 (2015), no. 5, 1481–1487.
- [3] A. Athavale, On the intertwining of joint isometries, J. Operator Theory 23 (1990), no. 2, 339–350.
- [4] N. S. Feldman, Hypercyclic tuples of operators and somewhere dense orbits, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 346 (2008), no. 1, 82–98.
- [5] H. M. Hilden and L. J. Wallen, Some cyclic and non-cyclic vectors of certain operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1973/74), 557–565.
- [6] P. Hoffmann and M. Mackey, (m, p)-isometric and (m,∞)-isometric operator tuples on normed spaces, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 8 (2015), no. 2, 1550022, 32 pp.
- [7] L. Kerchy, Operators with regular norm-sequences, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 63 (1997), no. 3-4, 571–605.

#### SUPERCYCLICITY OF $\ell^p\text{-}\mathsf{SPHERICAL}$ AND TORAL ISOMETRIES

- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Hyperinvariant subspaces of operators with non-vanishing orbits, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 5, 1363–1370.
- R. Soltani, K. Hedayatian, and B. Khani-Robati, On supercyclicity of tuples of operators, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 38 (2015), no. 4, 1507–1516.

Mohammad Ansari Department of Mathematics College of Sciences Shiraz University Shiraz 71454, Iran and Department of basic sciences Gachsaran branch Islamic Azad University Gachsaran, Iran *E-mail address*: m\_ansari@shirazu.ac.ir

KARIM HEDAYATIAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF SCIENCES SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY SHIRAZ 71454, IRAN *E-mail address*: hedayati@shirazu.ac.ir

Bahram Khani-Robati Department of Mathematics College of Sciences Shiraz University Shiraz 71454, Iran *E-mail address:* bkhani@shirazu.ac.ir 7